

Message

From: Schmit, Ayn [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=2B2F896DF44140F698592CEA68347CDA-SCHMIT, AYN]
Sent: 9/18/2019 3:14:27 PM
To: Kelly, Myla [MKelly2@mt.gov]; Sullivan, Lauren [Lauren.Sullivan@mt.gov]
CC: Gildea, Jason [Gildea.Jason@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: A topic for our meeting next week

From: Genny Hoyle <genhoyle@kootenai.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2019 9:13 AM
To: Sue Ireland <ireland@kootenai.org>; Schmit, Ayn <Schmit.Ayn@epa.gov>
Cc: Carol Kriebs <ckriebs@kootenai.org>; William Barquin <wbarquin@kootenai.org>; Charlie Holderman <cholderman@kootenai.org>; Gildea, Jason <Gildea.Jason@epa.gov>; McGrath, Patricia <mcgrath.patricia@epa.gov>; Kusnierz, Lisa <kusnierz.lisa@epa.gov>; Varilek, Kimberly <Varilek.Kimberly@epa.gov>; Wilson, Wenona <Wilson.Wenona@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: A topic for our meeting next week

Thank you Ayn.

After the last SeTSC meeting on Monday 9/16, it appeared to me that the Committee was starting to use language in their discussions that focused the modeling to developing the criteria based 'upon species in Koocanusa Reservoir.' That specific language also appeared in a memo that Dave DeForest/Teck sent to the SeTSC members and observers in August that myself and others have until 10/3 to officially comment on. That memo focused on developing site-specific objectives and criteria that left off KR white sturgeon in their egg/ovary Se objectives specifically because they are not officially in the Koocanusa Reservoir.

It is my feeling that the official scope of the Se criteria, which is Koocanusa-specific, has the potential to exclude KR white sturgeon.

I appreciate the MT DEQ's request for input. See you next week!

genny

*Genny Hoyle
Aquatic Biologist
Kootenai Tribe of Idaho
genhoyle@kootenai.org
(208) 267-3620 (o)
(208) 610-9293 (c)*

From: Sue Ireland
Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2019 7:17 AM
To: Schmit, Ayn
Cc: Carol Kriebs; William Barquin; Genny Hoyle; Charlie Holderman; Gildea, Jason; McGrath, Patricia; Kusnierz, Lisa; Varilek, Kimberly; Wilson, Wenona
Subject: Re: A topic for our meeting next week

Thank you Ayn. We are very appreciative of MT DEQs request and can talk about it at our meeting. We look forward to visiting with you all next week!

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 17, 2019, at 8:00 PM, Schmit, Ayn <Schmit.Ayn@epa.gov> wrote:

KTOI colleagues, we and Montana DEQ are very much looking forward to the opportunity to sit down and visit with many of you in person next week. Although we want to primarily keep the agenda and conversation open to enable discussion of whatever topics are a priority for you, Montana DEQ has let us know that they would like to seek tribal and First Nations input on which fish species should be focused on for modeling and protection under the selenium site-specific criteria under development by MDEQ and the BC Ministry. They are particularly interested in understanding fish species that have cultural significance for the tribes. They have indicated that they'd like to seek formal written tribal input on this issue. At our meeting, we're not expecting that you would be prepared to provide this input. Rather, we'd like to talk about how best to work with you to ensure that that input can be provided. I wanted to let you know about that so that you could give it some thought before our meeting. We'll be talking with you on Thursday and seeing you next week. Best,
Ayn

Ayn Schmit / Water Policy Advisor / 303-312-6220 / EPA Region 8 / 1595 Wynkoop St, Denver, CO 80202

Protect our Nation's Waters <https://www.epa.gov/aboutepa/epa-region-8-mountains-and-plains>